

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,432	YOKOZEKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Connolly	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mark Connolly.

(3) _____

(2) Sam Huang Reg. No. 48,430.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006

Time: 10:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-7 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During the telephone interview, claim language was discussed to clarify the above mentioned claims to ensure proper antecedent basis for all claim elements

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3/3/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)